

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/825,202	04/16/2004	Kazutoshi Haraguchi	040183	7677	
	7590 10/26/2007 TOS & HANSON, LLP	EXAMINER			
1420 K Street, N.W. Suite 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			YOON, TAE H		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1796		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/825,202	HARAGUCHI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Tae H. Yoon	1796	
	10/825,202 Examiner	10/825,202 HARAGUCHI ET AL Examiner Art Unit

	rae II. 100II	1790	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 October 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must time ly file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completion following time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in iance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of	-		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing d	ate of the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	Ŋ.		
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of entern a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ind the corresponding amount of the fe statutory period for reply originally set	ee. The appropriate exte in the final Office action	nsion fee under 37 ; or (2) as set forth
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two mont	hs of the date
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ex Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must b AMENDMENTS	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	f the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	hut prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered	harausa
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NC		because
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	••	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	-	•	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL -324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	· ·
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		e, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: 6-8 and 10.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3-5, 9 and 13-16</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	All form with the hot of film was	N 1 - A2	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app y and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ails to provide a 1).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by see attachment.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ince because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	Zelle	
		Tae H Yoon Primary Examiner	
	•	Art Unit: 1796	

U.S. Patert and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 0806) Application/Control Number: 10/825,202

Art Unit: 1796

ATTACHMENT TO ADVISORY ACTION

Rejection under Lorah et al is maintained for reason of record (claim 2 had been cancelled as stated by applicant, and thus, claims 1, 3-5, 9 and 13-16 are rejected) with following response.

Again, the instantly recited "comprising" permits presence of other components such as a hydrophobic modification as the reason given by the examiner. Thus, applicant's argument that the recited "interact" is limited to only the clay and organic polymer has little probative value. Applicant further asserts that clays of Lorah et al are not water-swelling, but the examiner disagrees with such assertion since [0005] of Lorah et al clearly teaches intercalated and exfoliated nanocomposites and said intercalation and exfoliation are typical characteristics of water-swelling clays (again, see instant page 8, lines 3-8). Unmodified clay of an aqueous dispersion is taught in [0041] and [0065] as in the instant page 8, lines 3-8.

Claims 6-9 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Rejection based on JP'639A is withdrawn.

Art Unit: 1796

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H. Yoon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1128. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1796

THY/October 24, 2007